



CONSERVATION COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES –June 6, 2022

Present: Crystal Kelly – Chair, Carolyn Nielsen -Vice Chair, Nina Villanova, and Bob Mosher - Commissioners, Emily Sullivan-Conservation Officer

Absent: Bob Hidell, Tom Roby

The remote meeting was held via Zoom with Dial in #929-205-6099, Meeting ID # 869-0490-9949

The meeting was called to order at 7:02 PM.

Chair Kelly stated that the meeting was being held remotely as an alternate means of public access pursuant to Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 temporarily amending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law. She advised that the meeting and all communications during the meeting may be recorded by the Town of Hingham in accordance with the Open Meeting Law. She stated that if any participant wished to record the meeting, to notify her in accordance with M.G.L. c. 30A, § 20(f) so that she could inform all other participants of the recording. No participants expressed a wish to record the meeting.

Approval of Minutes from 3/28/22, 5/2/22 and 5/23/22

Without the correct quorum for each set of minutes, no votes were held on the minutes.

Certificates of Compliance

60 Research Road – DEP 034-1388

Applicant: Kevin Gill

Representative: Gabe Crocker, Crocker Design Group

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo, Request for Certificate of Compliance, Request Letter, As-Built, and two exhibits from the applicant.

Excerpts from the staff memo: An Order of Conditions was issued in March 2021 for the construction of a new 30,500 sf warehouse on an existing developed commercial site, expansion of an existing parking lot, including filling and adding a retaining wall and stormwater management improvements, as well as temporary construction access and an 8-inch water main extension on an adjacent parcel. Restoration plantings and tree replacement plantings were also included. Staff visited the site with the project engineers on 5/27/22. Several outstanding issues were noted, mostly related to plantings and landscaping, which was not complete.

Gabe Crocker and Chris Mulrey from Crocker Design Group were present on the call. G. Crocker shared an aerial image of 60 Research Road and stated that they are in the wrap up phase of the project, had inspected all drains, and a detailed As-Built had been completed. He explained that this request was for a Partial Certificate of Compliance. A thorough site walk with the ACO had been conducted and it was noted that a lot of the plantings hadn't taken yet and there was landscape work to be done before it would be fully ready for a partial certificate of compliance. He pointed out the perimeter areas where trees and shrubs had taken but where grasses had not germinated.

G. Crocker brought to the Commission's attention portions of the submitted request letter, highlighting areas of the project that had deviated a bit from the approved plan including; the water main location effectively further from the buffer zone, some pavement changes in the buffer zone resulting in 700sf added and 637sf removed, angles for tractor trailer parking changed requiring relocation of one tree, and he also noted the overlap of Orders of Conditions with the water main portion of the work. He shared photos of the planting areas and asked if the Commission would be willing to work with them on a mitigation plan to compensate for the additional pavement. He shared the Mitigation planting plan and pointed out some options.

Brief discussion followed with the CO explaining that the site is not ready for a partial COC, that site stabilization is needed as well as additional mitigation plantings to account for the additional pavement. G. Crocker also confirmed that there is a planting survival condition in the Order of Conditions and that with this current effort to get the mitigation plantings corrected and installed, they are seeking a partial Certificate of Compliance for the overall site infrastructure, short of the 2 year survival of the landscaping.

G. Crocker briefly described the water main work and its location, explained that it is in an area covered by two Orders of Conditions, and asked how the Commission would want to handle that. The Chair stated that she'd not had an opportunity to look at the other Order and would need time before discussion of that matter. The Commission was amenable to further mitigation for the additional pavement and that the details of that could be worked out with staff. Brief scheduling discussion followed with all in agreement to continue the matter to the July 11, 2022 meeting.

Motion: Comm'r Villanova moved to continue the Request for Certificate of Compliance for 60 Research Road (DEP File #034-1388) to June 27, 2022.

Second: Comm'r Nielsen

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Villanova: aye, and Comm'r Mosher: aye

Requests for Determination of Applicability

74 Clubhouse Drive, cont'd from 5/23/22

Applicant: Catherine Varitek

Representative: Jeffrey Hassett, Morse Engineering Co., Inc.

Proposed: Replacement & extension of existing deck

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo,

Excerpts from the staff memo: The purpose of this Request for Determination of Applicability is to evaluate the potential impacts of removing an existing 13' x 35' wood deck, constructing a new 18' x 35' composite deck with new concrete footings where needed, replacing existing stone pavers under the deck with new stone pavers and expanding the patio to the new extent of the deck, and adding stairs from the new deck to grade. There will be spacing between the deck boards for runoff. All proposed work is outside of the 50ft buffer but within the 100ft buffer.

Neither the applicant nor a representative were present on the call. The Commission chose to continue the hearing to the June 27th meeting.

Motion: Comm'r Nielsen moved to continue the request for 74 Clubhouse Drive to the June 27th meeting.

Second: Comm'r Mosher

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Mosher: aye, and Comm'r Villanova: aye

161 & 157 Nokomis Road

Applicant: 182 Newbridge LLC

Representative: Colin McSweeney

Proposed: Removal of carport & driveway and installation of lawn

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo, Request for Determination of Applicability, and Plot Plan dated 6/6/21 (color modified to show lawn edge and conservation mix).

Excerpts from the staff memo: Staff visited the site on May 25, 2022. 161 Nokomis and 157 Nokomis are owned by different property owners, but the Commission has written confirmation from both owners requesting this proposed work. Most work proposed is outside of the 50ft buffer, but within the 100ft buffer. A portion of the carport and driveway are within the 50ft buffer. The applicant is proposing to remove the carport and driveway and convert the area to lawn. Conservation staff recommended that the area within the 50ft buffer be seeded with a conservation seed mix rather than a lawn seed mix, and be allowed to vegetate naturally. Per Section 22.0 of the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations, the Commission does not allow new lawn within the 50ft buffer. No vegetation removal is proposed as part of this project. The debris proposed for removal in the 100ft buffer includes piles of wood and pieces of concrete.

Representative Colin McSweeney and applicant Leo Yazykov of 182 Newbridge LLC were present on the call. C. McSweeney described that the house at 161 Nokomis had been demolished and rebuilt and as a part of that, a new driveway had been created in a different location than what was existing. He shared his screen with the plan and diagram and pointed out the old driveway and carport which are within the 100 ft buffer. This proposal is to remove the old driveway and carport and put lawn in its place. The portion of the carport and driveway within the 50ft buffer will be sowed with a conservation seed mix. They would stake out the 50ft buffer ahead of time.

The CO stated that with removal of impervious surface, cleaning up the debris on the neighboring lot, and willingness to plant the conservation seed mix in the 50 ft buffer, the proposal, even lawn within the 100 ft buffer, is an enhancement to existing conditions. She recommended that the Commission condition that the applicant stake out the 50ft buffer ahead of work to prevent any mistakes in lawn installation. The Commission was in agreement.

Motion: Comm’r Mosher moved to issue a Negative Determination of Applicability for the proposed work at 161 and 157 Nokomis Road, as shown on the submitted plans, and adopt the findings of fact a through c, and conditions 1 through 8 of the staff report.

Findings:

- a. This project meets the requirements of Part 1, Section 7.1 of the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations governing procedures for a Request for Determination of Applicability.
- b. The work described is within an area subject to protection under the Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40) and the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations, and will not alter or adversely affect the area subject to protection under the Act or the Regulations.
- c. For the purpose of this filing, the Commission confirms the delineation of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands flags A1 through A4 consistent with the Order of Resource Area Delineation #034-1376 issued for 161 Nokomis Road on August 21, 2020, but makes no finding as to the exact boundaries of other wetland resource areas.

Conditions:

1. Prior to the start of work, erosion and sediment controls shall be installed, between the work area and the wetlands, and inspected by an agent of the Commission; straw wattles and/or hay bales shall not be used as a form of erosion or sediment control.
2. Erosion and sediment controls shall remain in place until all disturbed or exposed areas have been stabilized with a final vegetative cover or the Commission has authorized their removal.
3. Prior to the start of work, the 50ft buffer shall be staked and inspected by an agent of the Commission. A limit of work fence shall be installed along the 50ft buffer to ensure that no work occurs within the 50ft buffer.
4. The portions of the carport and driveway within the 50ft buffer shall be reestablished with a conservation seed mix and allowed to naturally vegetate with minimal maintenance.
5. Any debris, which falls into any resource area, shall be removed immediately by hand and properly disposed of at an off-site location.
6. All lawn waste, brush, leaves, or other materials dumped in any resource area, including the buffer zone, shall be removed by hand and properly disposed of at an off-site location, and the practice discontinued, in accordance with Section 23.6 of the Hingham Wetland Regulations.
7. All demolition and excavated material shall be properly disposed of at an off-site location.
8. The Conservation Department shall be notified to any changes in plans prior to proceeding with said changed plans.

Second: Comm’r Villanova

Roll Call: Comm’r Kelly: aye, Comm’r Nielsen: aye, Comm’r Villanova: aye, and Comm’r Mosher: aye

8 Grist Mill Lane

Applicant: Nancy Grant

Representative: James Garfield, Morse Engineering Co., Inc.

Proposed: Septic system upgrade

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo and Request for Determination of Applicability

Excerpts from the staff memo: The purpose of this Request for Determination of Applicability is to evaluate the potential impacts of installing a new Title V compliant septic system, and locating, pumping, filling and abandoning two existing leaching pits. One leaching pit is within the 50ft buffer, and the remaining work is within the 100ft buffer. A portion of the leaching field and associated filling appears to be within Riverfront Area. Staff visited the site on 5/24/22. Bordering Vegetated Wetlands were flagged by a wetland scientist in April 2022.

Overall the new septic should be an improvement over existing conditions.

James Garfield, the representative from Morse Engineering, shared the site plan to the screen. He described the property and house and pointed out the setbacks and Bordering Vegetated Wetland. He explained that the house is serviced by a failing Title V septic system consisting of 2 leaching pits, one just outside the 50ft buffer and one within. The proposed septic system includes a new leaching field within the 100ft buffer but outside the 50ft buffer, and the

reason for proposing it in that area is it allows them to achieve a gravity fed septic system. It would entail the removal of two trees. They had received comments from staff and sent a response letter with a revised plan. They propose planting three trees within the 50ft buffer to replace the two removed. J. Garfield elaborated further on the chosen location of the septic system; the only other place would be on a steep, heavily vegetated slope out front by the lane. He added that there is a lot of ledge in the areas outside the 100ft buffer and the concerns are mainly grading issues with the ledge; they don't want to divert water to the house or have a pump septic system.

The CO expressed appreciation that the applicant had considered alternatives and commented that the Commission would have to consider whether to ask the applicant to push the leaching field outside the 100ft buffer with potentially more disturbance, or to allow it within the 100ft buffer with little disturbance. She noted that the site was unusual, not having any lawn. Responding to a question from the Commission about proximity to the resource area, J. Garfield briefly reviewed the Title V requirements and noted that the closest edge of the leaching system is 65ft away and (the Title V minimum is 50 ft). Regarding effluent getting into groundwater, Title V requires 4 ft from the bottom of the system to the ground water table so it has time for effluent to discharge into the soil effectively and by the time it reaches groundwater it is safe. He added that this was the best fit considering the failing ones within the 50 ft buffer currently. The Commission discussed the location and concluded in agreement that there was no other reasonable alternative on this site. Brief discussion followed about use of a conservation seed mix over the system. The Commission was in agreement that J. Garfield could work with the CO to select an appropriate seed mix.

Motion: Comm'r Villanova moved to issue a Negative Determination of Applicability for the proposed work at 8 Grist Mill Lane, as shown on the submitted plans, and adopt the findings of fact a through c, and conditions 1 through 13 of the staff report.

Findings:

- a. This project meets the requirements of Part 1, Section 7.1 of the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations governing procedures for a Request for Determination of Applicability.
- b. The work described is within an area subject to protection under the Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40) and the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations, and will not alter or adversely affect the area subject to protection under the Act or the Regulations.
- c. For the purpose of this filing, the Commission confirms the delineation of Bordering Vegetated Wetland flags WF-1 to WF-12, and confirms the presence of Riverfront Area and Bordering Land Subject to Flooding on the lot, but makes no finding as to the exact boundaries of other wetland resource areas.

Conditions:

1. Prior to the start of work, a mitigation planting plan shall be submitted to the Commission for review and approval. The planting plan shall include three (3) mitigation tree plantings OR eight (8) mitigation shrub plantings for the approved two (2) tree removals, in accordance with the Commission's Tree Removal and Replacement Policy (adopted 11/4/19). Locations closest to the resource areas shall be prioritized for planting. All mitigation plantings shall be native species; no cultivars, non-native species, or invasive species shall be allowed.
2. Prior to the start of work, erosion and sediment controls shall be installed, as shown on the final approved plan, and inspected by an agent of the Commission; straw wattles and/or hay bales shall not be used as a form of erosion or sediment control.
3. Erosion and sediment controls shall remain in place until all disturbed or exposed areas have been stabilized with a final vegetative cover or the Commission has authorized their removal.
4. No trees or shrubs shall be removed during the abandonment of the existing leaching pits without prior approval by an agent of the Commission, and additional mitigation plantings per the Tree Removal and Replacement Policy if applicable.
5. Any debris, which falls into any resource area, shall be removed immediately by hand and properly disposed of at an off-site location.
6. Lawn waste, brush, leaves, or other materials dumped in any resource area, including the buffer zone, in particular near wetland flags WF-13 to WF-14, shall be removed by hand to the extent feasible, and properly disposed of at an off-site location, and the practice discontinued, in accordance with Section 23.6 of the Hingham Wetland Regulations.
7. All tree debris shall be properly disposed of at an off-site location; no chipped or mulched material shall remain on the property.

8. All excavated material shall be properly disposed of at an off-site location.
9. There shall be no stockpiling of soil or other materials within 50 feet of any resource area. All stockpiles that are not used for more than five days shall be covered and surrounded by erosion and sediment controls; straw wattles and/or hay bales shall not be used as a form of erosion and sediment control.
10. No vehicle or other machinery refueling, lubrication or maintenance, including concrete washout, or storage of fuel or maintenance chemicals, shall take place within 100 feet of any resource area.
11. Mitigation plantings shall be installed, in accordance with the final approved mitigation planting plan.
12. The Conservation Department shall be notified to any changes in plans prior to proceeding with said changed plans.
13. This decision shall be included in the materials packet exchanged during the house closing process so that any new property owner is aware of the conditions of this decision.

Second: Comm'r Mosher

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Mosher: aye, and Comm'r Villanova: aye

274 South Street

Applicant: South Shore Country Club

Representative: Stephan White, SSCC Mgt Committee

Proposed: Installation of driving range net

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo and Request for Determination of Applicability

Excerpts from the staff memo: Staff visited the site on May 25, 2022. The applicant is using a wetlands delineation from 2007 to estimate the wetlands for this proposal. The Commission approved this wetland delineation in 2007 when it issued an Order of Conditions. Staff does not believe that the delineation has changed significantly since 2007. However, staff does not recommend confirming the delineation.

The project proposes to install 2-3 posts to extend an existing netting system at the SSCC. The existing netting system protects wetland resource areas from being inundated by golf balls at the driving range. Expanding the netting system would place netting in front of an intermittent stream, approximately 10ft from the stream's bank. There was netting installed along the stream in the same location from approximately 2002 to 2010, but was removed when it fell into disrepair. This proposal is to install netting in the same location as it previously existed.

Kevin Whalen, director of the South Shore Country Club, Steve White and Christine Smith (SSCC Chair), members of the SSCC Management Committee, were present on the call. K. Whalen explained that they've had some issues on the driving range with the balls getting plugged into the wet areas 15 yards on one side and 25 yards on the other, of the intermittent stream and are requesting to put in a net to catch balls before they go in that area.

It would be operationally productive and limit the balls from getting in that area. S.White added that they would be replacing something that was previously approved and installed in 2010. The netting had gotten into disrepair but there hadn't been funds to replace it. The SSCC now has funds and would like to replace the net. Responding to a Commission question, S. White confirmed that the net would be in the same location as it had been before.

The CO commented that this would protect the resource area. She noted that there are currently posts and netting and this proposal would be an extension of that netting, and in the exact footprint of the previous net. She added that it makes sense to prevent people from digging around in the intermittent stream and that she'd included a condition for the netting area to be staked and inspected before installation. The Commission was in agreement that the proposal was okay.

Motion: Comm'r Nielsen moved to issue a Negative Determination of Applicability for the proposed work at 274 South Street, as shown on the submitted plans, and adopt the findings of fact a through c, and conditions 1 through 7 of the staff report.

Findings:

- a. This project meets the requirements of Part 1, Section 7.1 of the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations governing procedures for a Request for Determination of Applicability.
- b. The work described is within an area subject to protection under the Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40) and the Town of Hingham Wetland Regulations, and will not alter or adversely affect the area subject to protection under the Act or the Regulations.
- c. For the purpose of this filing, the Commission makes no finding as to the exact boundaries of wetland resource areas.

Conditions:

1. Prior to the start of work, erosion and sediment controls shall be installed, between the work area and the wetlands, and inspected by an agent of the Commission; straw wattles and/or hay bales shall not be used as a form of erosion or sediment control.
2. Erosion and sediment controls shall remain in place until all disturbed or exposed areas have been stabilized with a final vegetative cover or the Commission has authorized their removal.
3. Prior to the start of work, the posts shall be staked and inspected by an agent of the Commission.
4. Any debris, which falls into any resource area, shall be removed immediately by hand and properly disposed of at an off-site location.
5. There shall be no stockpiling of soil or other materials within 50 feet of any resource area. All stockpiles that are not used for more than five days shall be covered and surrounded by erosion and sediment controls; straw wattles and/or hay bales shall not be used as a form of erosion and sediment control.
6. No vehicle or other machinery refueling, lubrication or maintenance, including concrete washout, or storage of fuel or maintenance chemicals, shall take place within 100 feet of any resource area.
7. The Conservation Department shall be notified to any changes in plans prior to proceeding with said changed plans.

Second: Comm'r Mosher

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Villanova: aye, and Comm'r Mosher: aye

Chair Kelly read the Public Hearing Notice of Intent.

4 Puritan Road – DEP 034-1442, cont'd from 5/23/22

Applicant: Ryan Novak

Representative: Kenneth Thomson

Proposed: Construction of an addition

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Request for Determination of Applicability

Excerpts from the staff memo: no staff memo was prepared as no new materials were submitted

Ahead of the meeting, the applicant's representative had requested to continue to the next meeting. Chair Kelly requested that any members of the public who were present hold any comments to the next meeting.

Motion: Comm'r Mosher moved to continue the hearing for 4 Puritan Road to the June 27, 2022 meeting.

Second: Comm'r Villanova

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Villanova: aye, Comm'r Mosher: aye, and Comm'r Nielsen: aye

14 Seal Cove Road – DEP 034-XXXX, cont'd from 5/23/22

Applicant: Leonard Monfredo

Representative: Joseph Hannon, Atlantic Coast Engineering

Proposed: Covered boat lift

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Staff memo, Notice of Intent application, Proposed Boat Lift Plan (2/16/22), Standard Roof Covering exhibit (2/16/22), and Performance Standards Memo (5/12/22)

Excerpts from the staff memo: The purpose of this Notice of Intent is to evaluate the potential impacts of constructing a new boat lift with a 16' x 18' roof, accessory to an existing pier, ramp, and float at a single-family residence. The boat would be installed on four new 10-inch diameter piles.

Chair Kelly noted that a DEP # had not yet been issued for the project. Jed Hannon of Atlantic Coast Engineering was present on the call and described the proposal; a boat lift adjacent to an existing pier with a gangway and seasonal float. The Site Plan was shared to the screen. J. Hannon listed the resource areas; Coastal Beach, Land Containing Shellfish, Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage and FEMA VE zone. He stated the 14' x 16' boat lift would be pile supported by four 10' diameter piles and have 2 transverse beams and two longitudinal beams along with 2 electric winches which will be electrically controlled with essentially a remote. J. Hannon stated that the plan had been reviewed and approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals and the Harbormaster had reviewed the plans and had no concerns. The applicant had retained Brad Holmes of Environmental Consultation and Restoration to review the project from an environmental standpoint.

The CO clarified that it is a covered boat lift and the proposed roof of the boatlift would be 16' x 18'. She noted that J. Hannon had forwarded to her the Harbormaster's input. She asked for clarification on how the mean water line was determined and how the proposal complies with the existing pier/dock regulations. She also noted that the office was waiting for MA DEP to issue the DEP # and any comments.

J. Hannon responded that the mean low tide was established at a zero zero tide. He stated that he would review the dock/pier regulations and respond to the CO. He noted that the Division of Marine Fisheries had reviewed the proposal and had no negative comments. Chair Kelly summarized that aside from having no DEP #, there were some outstanding questions and the Commission needed more information to fully assess the proposal and suggested the Commission hold further questions. The Commission agreed.

Chair Kelly invited any members of the public to comment.

Ed McGrath, 8 Seal Cove Road, explained that he and his wife Michele are the neighbors who look out over the existing ramp and float. He stated that several years ago when they went through the process, the message they got about what is possible in Hingham Harbor for a float was that everyone in Hingham Bay has the same size float, 10' by 20'; no additions, roofs, extensions etc. with a lot of concern about effect on the eelgrass. They would like to know if the 10' by 20' rule is about to change.

The Chair thanked him for his comments and added that the Commission can address those when they have more information.

The Chair closed the public comment portion of the hearing and a brief scheduling discussion with J. Hannon followed concluding with a continuance to June 27.

Motion: Comm'r Villanova moved to continue the hearing for 14 Seal Cove Road to July 11, 2022.

Second: Comm'r Mosher

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Villanova: aye, and Comm'r Mosher: aye

Other Business:

93 Kimball Beach Road DEP 034-1381

Applicant: Oak Development & Design

Field Change Request: install skirting

Meeting Documents & Exhibits: Sketch of proposed skirting design, Engineer letter regarding skirting and the approved mitigation site plan

Tom O'Brien, project manager for Oak Development & Design, was present on the call to discuss a field change to allow decorative screening panels for 93 Kimball Beach Road. He explained that they had requested the engineer to redesign the screening panels, and that the redesign, with 4" of space at the bottom for floodwaters, now exceeds the code. He added that the Building Commissioner Mike Clancy has agreed that the design meets code.

Chair Kelly summarized that they are proposing to put skirting on the house, above the finish grade and they are proposing to redesign the panels to exceed the building code. The CO stated that the garage is on slab and the rest of the house is on piles (she shared the mitigation plan to the screen), and that Oak D&D had worked with an engineer and the design has been approved by M. Clancy. She noted that the Commission had recently made an effort to disallow skirting in areas that are VE zones; even if floodwaters can pass through, skirting can be broken, creating debris and issues. The Chair confirmed that the Commission is concerned with allowing the free flow of waters particularly in these areas so close to the ocean. She summarized that the house is currently without skirting, the request is to add skirting with certain gaps, that would comply with the Building code.

Comm'r Nielsen stated that her understanding is that there is a precedent for not allowing skirting in VE zones, primarily to avoid debris flailing around and causing damage and she didn't see a reason to change that precedent. Chair Kelly and Comm'r Villanova agreed. Comm'r Mosher asked for clarification about what was said about 'replacing panels' with the skirting. The CO explained that when staff went to do an inspection for a Certificate of Occupancy, they saw that skirting had been installed but had not been approved. The applicant had been told to remove the skirting and return to the Commission to request a field change. Comm'r Mosher noted that it is not then a 'replacement' because they weren't there legally.

T. O'Brien stated that the skirting was designed as non-breakaways and had been engineered such that the material has been spec-ed out for pressure equalization and non-breakaway. He added that the homeowners have young kids and with the house being up on pilings, it is also for providing protection for the family, to make it so the kids aren't crawling under.

Chair Kelly stated that she was still not inclined to move away not allowing the skirting. The Commission was in agreement to deny the filed change as requested. Chair Kelly called for a vote to affirm that the Commission will disallow the field change.

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Mosher: aye, and Comm'r Villanova: aye

Motion: Comm'r Mosher moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:04 pm.

Second: Comm'r Nielsen

Roll Call: Comm'r Kelly: aye, Comm'r Nielsen: aye, Comm'r Mosher: aye and Comm'r Villanova: aye

Submitted, _____

Sylvia Schuler, Administrative Assistant

Approved on September 12, 2022

This meeting was recorded. To obtain a copy of the recording please contact the Conservation office.